Diagnostics and Testability

Eric Gould DSI International October 2002





Diagnostics

 A process that correlates the results of multiple tests to determine overall system status and generate hypotheses (fault groups) for maintenance / remediation.

- Testing vs. Diagnostics
- Determines overall system status
- Generates hypotheses





Testability

 A design characteristic which allows the status (operable, inoperable, degraded) of an item to be determined and the isolation of faults within the item to be performed in a timely manner

- Characteristic of a design
- Enables determination of item status
- Facilitates testing / diagnostics





The Two "Testabilities"

Design for Test

- Good design practices that facilitate Testing
- Usually performed by designers
- Design for Diagnosis ("Diagnosability")
 - Optimization of design to facilitate Diagnostics (e.g. Test Point Placement)
 - Optimization of diagnostic strategies
 - Usually performed by designers or by analysts in conjunction with designers





Diagnostic Engineering

 The engineering discipline through which the diagnostic capability of a system or device is developed assessed and optimized. Diagnostic Engineering is comprised of three inter-related processes:

- Diagnostic Development (test strategy generation)
- Diagnostic Assessment (evaluates both diagnostics & design)
- Design Development (improvements to facilitate diagnosis)





The Diagnostic Engineering Process

Diagnostic Development

- Diagnostics Developed Simultaneously with Design
- Updated based on Iterative Assessments

Diagnostic Assessment

- Evaluates Diagnostics Together with Design
- Provides Feedback to Both Diagnostics and Design
- Used to Determine Requirement Allocations
- Assessments Become More Frequent As Design and Diagnostics Mature

Design Development

- Diagnosability Assessed in Earliest Development Phases
- Updated based on Iterative Assessments





The Diagnostic Engineering Development Cycle





Phases of Assessment in the Diagnostic Engineering Development Cycle



Testability

- Can commence in the earliest design phases (Should *not* be postponed until after FMECA)
- Metrics are meaningful while the design is still in flux
- Provides useful feedback throughout Diagnostic Engineering Cycle

Failure Modes, Effects & Criticality Analysis (FMECA)

- Requires that specific component failure modes be identified
- Typically not performed until design is well established
- Effort reduced if based on same data models as Testability

Supportability & Maintainability Predictions

- Requires design and diagnostics to be well established
 - Results in changes to diagnostics / maintenance plans more often than in modifications to the design

The Diagnostic Engineering Maintenance Cycle



Two Points That Are Important Enough To Repeat Ad Nauseum

 Testability Analysis should be performed iteratively throughout the development and deployment of the design

 Testability Analysis should be performed starting in the earliest development phase in which feedback on design diagnosability may be useful





Some Characteristics of eXpress

How *express* facilitates iterative analysis:

- Test definitions are automatically updated as model matures
- Robust attribute engine allows *eXpress* to act as a data governing tool
- Open (COM) interface allows *eXpress* to be easily integrated into any process

How *eXpress* facilitates early analysis:

- eXpress doesn't simply allow top-down analysis, it encourages it
- Functional dependencies can be analyzed before failure modes are known
- eXpress analysis produces metrics that are useful even when minimal design details are available





Dependency Models

 Dependency Models are representations of the behavior of a device or system in terms of the causal relationships between its different elements.



Two Types of Dependency Models

 Functional Dependency Models represent how a device or system behaves when operating properly.

> # Function Nominal Event

 Failure Mode Dependency Models represent the different ways in which a device or system can malfunction.







Functional and Failure Mode Dependency Models

Functional Dependency Models

- May be hardware-independent
- Can be developed early in the design process
- Appropriate for representing component or system-level behavior
- Fully describes design functionality
- Failure Mode Dependency Models
 - Must be hardware-dependent
 - Cannot be developed until relatively late in the design process
 - Typically used to represent component-level behavior
 - Often constrained to a fault universe





Hybrid Dependency Models in eXpress

- Hybrid Dependency Models represent the behavior of a device or system in terms of both functional and failure mode causes.
 - A functional model is first developed
 - Failure modes are overlaid over the functional model
 - Affected functions are identified for each failure mode
- Hybrid Dependency Models allow diagnostics to test in terms of either functions or failure modes.
 - Function and failure mode statuses are correlated during diagnostics
- Hybrid Dependency Models allow system diagnostic and FMECA analysis to be derived from the same database.





Diagnostic Dependency Models

 Diagnostic Dependency Models represent the different ways in which a device or system can be tested.







Traditional Dependency Modeling

 First-Order Dependency Statements describe the elements of the design that have an immediate effect upon the results of the specified test(s).

^-o-<mark>#</mark>

<u>^-0-#</u>

 Nth-Order Dependency Statements describe all elements of the design that can impact the results of the specified tests. Nth-Order dependencies can either be derived from first-order dependencies, or entered by hand.

<u> ~ _ O - O -</u>

<u>^-0-0-</u>#

^____#



`----**-**-#



eXpress Modeling



Topology, Functional Dependencies and Failure Modes are defined in an *eXpress* Model.

Next, Tests are added to the *express* model using short-hand definitions.

express automatically creates a full-ordered Diagnostic Dependency Model by overlaying the Test Definitions over the *express* Model.



Functions Are Propagated As You Draw



Defining Tests at Outputs: Operational and User-Initiated Tests



Coverage of Test Defined at OUT-1



Coverage of Test Defined at OUT-2





Defining Tests by Selecting Coverage: Signature Tests



B is only visible at OUT-1







Test Asymmetry

 Results when the functions or failure modes that are exonerated (proved) when a test passes are not the same as those that are called into suspicion (detected) when that same test fails.

 Because when asymmetric tests are used for detection the portion of the design that is verified is not necessarily the same as that which is searched for malfunctions, there is a bifurcation of Fault Detection metrics: Faults Proven vs. Faults Detected





As Topology & Dependencies Change, Test Coverage is Automatically Updated



Z is automatically added to coverage





Beyond Topology: Inspection Tests

Inspection tests should be used when the status of the part(s) can be determined...

- Independent of the part(s)'s role in the system (visual inspection, external test equipment, etc.)
- Using "ambient" means (air temperature, sound, etc.)
- Using non-topological "rules"
- Using prognostic algorithms



Hierarchical Models in eXpress

Systems are typically modeled using a *meetin-the-middle* approach.

Top-down models are used early in the design process to determine requirements allocations.

As design details become available, lower-level models are incorporated into the system from the *bottom up*.





Benefits of Hierarchical Modeling in *eXpress*

Top Down Modeling

- Enables Requirements Allocation Case Studies
- Facilitates Communication with Customer / Engineers

Bottom Up Modeling

- Provides Rollup of Design and Attribute Data
- Establishes Maintenance Levels for Diagnostics
- "Meet-in-the-Middle" Modeling
- Ensures a Rigorous Approach to System Integration
- Allows Low-Level Assessments to be Evaluated in Context





The System Integrator Plays a Crucial Role in Development of the System Model

Upper-level Upper-level Requirements Interface Early Design Contributions Expectations of Provider A

System Integrator

Lower-level Details Lower-level Interface Later Design Contributions Realizations of Provider B



Other Types of Diagnostic Models

- Rule-based:
- Case-based:
- MBR-based:
- AI-based:

Expert Systems Empirical Expert Systems Model-based Reasoners Bayesian, Neural Net, etc.





Periods of Effectiveness for Different Types of Diagnostics



Advantages of *eXpress* Modeling

 A topological model can be developed before functions, failure modes and tests are introduced. This model can often be imported from engineering databases and easily compared against design schematics.

 Because failure modes are integrated with a full functional model, diagnostic predictions can be possible even when complete failure information is not available.

 Test definitions do not require extensive low-level updates every time that the design is modified. Instead, test definitions are used to automatically repopulate test coverage.





Benefits of eXpress Modeling

- express models, because they can resemble design schematics, facilitate communication between engineers and analysts in different disciplines.
- *eXpress* models combine the strengths of both Functional and Failure Mode dependency models.
- eXpress models require fewer extensive, low-level revisions as a design matures, thereby lending themselves to iterative analyses in all phases of development.
- *eXpress* models can be profitably utilized in early phases of development – when diagnostic feedback can be most effectively used to improve the design.



